

June 6, 2008

The Honorable George W. Bush
President of the United States
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Re: Evaluation of the Honorable Russell F. Canan

Dear Mr. President:

The fifteen-year term of the Honorable Russell F. Canan, an Associate Judge of the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, expires on August 8, 2008. He is seeking reappointment to another term.

Pursuant to Section 433(c) of the District of Columbia Self-Government and Governmental Reorganization Act, 87 Stat. 744, as amended by the District of Columbia Judicial Efficiency and Improvement Act of 1986, P.L. 99-573, 100 Stat. 3228, the District of Columbia Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure (“the Commission”) hereby submits this evaluation of Judge Canan’s performance during his present term of office and his fitness for reappointment. Section 433(c) provides:

Not less than six months prior to the expiration of his term of office, any judge of the District of Columbia courts may file with the Tenure Commission a declaration of candidacy for reappointment. If a declaration is not so filed by any judge, a vacancy shall result from the expiration of his term of office and shall be filled by appointment as provided in subsections (a) and (b). If a declaration is so filed, the Tenure Commission shall, not less than sixty days prior to the expiration of the declaring candidate’s term of office, prepare and submit to the President a written evaluation of the declaring candidate’s performance during

his present term of office and his fitness for reappointment to another term. If the Tenure Commission determines the declaring candidate to be well qualified for reappointment to another term, then the term of such declaring candidate shall be automatically extended for another full term, subject to mandatory retirement, suspension, or removal. If the Tenure Commission determines the declaring candidate to be qualified for reappointment to another term, then the President may nominate such candidate, in which case the President shall submit to the Senate for advice and consent the renomination of the declaring candidate as judge. If the President determines not to so nominate such declaring candidate, he shall nominate another candidate for such position only in accordance with the provisions of subsections (a) and (b). If the Tenure Commission determines the declaring candidate to be unqualified for reappointment to another term, then the President shall not submit to the Senate for advice and consent the renomination of the declaring candidate as judge and such judge shall not be eligible for reappointment or appointment as a judge of a District of Columbia court.

The Commission reserves the term “well qualified” for those judges whose work product, legal scholarship, dedication, efficiency, and demeanor are exceptional on the bench, and the candidate’s performance consistently reflects credit on the judicial system. The Commission will determine a judge is “qualified” if he or she satisfactorily performs his or her assigned duties or whose strong positive attributes are materially offset, but not overborne, by negative traits. A finding of “unqualified” means the Commission has found the judge to be unfit for judicial service.

Judge Canan filed his timely declaration of candidacy for reappointment with the Commission on February 4, 2008. In evaluating Judge Canan’s qualifications for reappointment, the Commission carefully reviewed the extensive written statement Judge

Canan submitted describing his services on the Court. This statement set forth the significant aspects of his judicial, professional, and community activities during the past 15 years. Judge Canan met personally with the Commission on May 14, 2008, to discuss his record, as well as information the Commission had received during the course of its evaluation from Court personnel and members of the D.C. Bar, including the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia, who were familiar with his performance. The Commission also reviewed a detailed, confidential statement from Judge Canan's physician attesting to his excellent health and the absence of any medical reason why he cannot continue to perform his judicial duties.

The Commission also reviewed Judge Canan's time reports and annual financial statements, which are required to be filed by every judge. The Commission reviewed its complaint file concerning the Judge, which disclosed nothing of a seriously questionable nature that would adversely affect his appointment.

Finally, the Commission met with Chief Judge Rufus G. King, III, who recommended Judge Canan's reappointment, and reported that he has been an extremely productive member of the Superior Court bench, has an excellent reputation with the Bar and among his colleagues, and has been heavily involved in leadership positions at the Court.

At this time, Judge Canan is the Deputy Presiding Judge of the Criminal Division. This is an extraordinarily important position, one of high public visibility, and one which

has great impact on the residents of the District of Columbia. Judge Canan has done an outstanding job in this position. Judge Canan has welcomed and effectively used the opportunities the position gives him to make the Court system more efficient and to provide greater services to the residents of the District of Columbia. Judge Canan received unstinting praise from observers of the Criminal Division, as well as from lawyers regularly practicing in that Division, for the fair and efficient manner in which he handled the cases.

Indeed, in investigating Judge Canan's qualifications for reappointment, the Commission did not hear a single critical comment about him. On the contrary, the reaction of members of the Bar was universally laudatory about his excellent temperament and demeanor, his respectful treatment of all litigants, lawyers, and court personnel, and his wide-ranging knowledge of the law.

It should be noted that Judge Canan has worked extremely efficiently with both his judicial colleagues and Court staff on a variety of other matters important to the Court. For example, he served as Chairperson of the Judicial Education and Training Committee, Chairperson of the Criminal Rules Advisory Committee-Federal Rules, Chairperson of the Jury Management Committee, member of the Committee on Selection and Tenure of Magistrate Judges, and as the Court's representative on the Lawyers' Counseling Committee sponsored by the D.C. Bar.

Over the 15 years, Judge Canan has served in every division of the Court except the Probate Division. He has presided over hundreds of trials and countless evidentiary hearings. He has also written a number of opinions on significant legal issues.

Judge Canan, by virtue of his energy, his integrity, his leadership skills, his legal scholarship, and his patient and evenhanded demeanor, clearly deserves the term “well qualified” for the exceptional service he has provided the citizens of the District of Columbia as a Superior Court Judge. His performance is a credit to our judicial system and of great benefit to the District of Columbia community. For all these reasons, the Commission finds Judge Canan well qualified for reappointment and his term shall be automatically extended for a full term of fifteen years from August 8, 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COMMISSION
ON JUDICIAL DISABILITIES AND TENURE

William P. Lightfoot, Esq., Chairperson

Hon. Gladys Kessler, Vice Chairperson

Gary C. Dennis, M.D.

The Honorable George W. Bush
June 6, 2008
Page Six

Report on Judge Canan

Noel J. Francisco, Esq.

Shirley A. Higuchi, Esq.

Ronald Richardson

Claudia A. Withers, Esq.

cc: The Honorable Russell F. Canan